

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/601,327	OCCHIPINTI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Marjorie Moran	1631

**All Participants:**

(1) Marjorie Moran.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Rusyn.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 April 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*All pending*

**Claims discussed:**

*All pending*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Rusyn contacted the examiner on 3/15/07 in response to the advisory action of 3/5/07. He pointed to the mailing receipt as proof that the after-final amendment had been timely filed. The examiner agreed and apologized for the error. She stated that she would review the amendment and arguments and would call the attorney back when she had decided how to treat the AF amendment. On 4/16/07, the examiner contacted Mr. Rusyn and explained that although the AF amendment did contain new issues, it did not contain new matter. As the claims were close to be allowable, the examiner stated that she would enter the amendment, but also proposed an examiner's amendment to put the claims fully in condition for allowance. On April 27, 2007, Mr. Rusyn authorized the examiner's amendment..